November 8, 2014

REPORT TO: HIS WORSHIP THE MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

FROM: FRED C. MANSON, CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

SUBJECT: ERWS WATER INTAKE AND TREATMENT PLANT PROJECT OPTIONS

PURPOSE: TO OBTAIN COUNCIL DIRECTION REGARDING ERWS WATER INTAKE AND TREATMENT PLANT

PROJECT OPTIONS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Further to the below referenced June 23, 2014 ERWS Loan Authorization Bylaw report from the Chief Administrative Officer, staff and elected officials have met with numerous senior government staff, MLA's MP's and Ministers to outline the ERWS project and to explore grant opportunities as directed by Council.

Although to date no grants have actually been awarded, promises for assistance from Federal and Provincial sources ranging between \$15 and \$24 million for the project have been discussed that may be announced and awarded in the March to May 2015 time frame.

With the December 31st, 2016 deadline for compliance with VIHA's 4-3-2-1 directive and projected water demands vs supply inadequacies looming, regardless of availability of grants, staff recommends the City take action by the summer of 2015 to avoid severe negative impacts on the community. The action recommended is as follows:

- PLAN A: In the event that funding in the afore-mentioned range does become available, the optimal full build out of the project currently estimated at \$36,984,484 (with the Parksville share being \$26,938,472) is within prudent fiscal reality.
- PLAN B: In the event funding in the \$15 to \$24 million range does not materialize, staff has provided for Council's consideration four less optimal alternative plans to phase the project over a longer period. Two of these options would achieve DWPA (Drinking Water Protection Act) and VIHA operating permit requirements. Staff recommends Option 4 as the best value for the City provided no grants materialise by summer of 2015.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1. That the Report from the City of Parksville Chief Administrative Officer dated November 8, 2014 entitled "ERWS Water Intake and Treatment Plant Project Options" be received;
- THAT Council approve Plan A, the Pre-design Report Optimal Solution as outlined in this report (estimated at \$36,984,484 over the period 2015 to 2018) contingent upon the ERWS being awarded a minimum of \$15,000,000 in Federal/Provincial grants by May 31, 2015;
- 3. THAT in the event no Federal/Provincial Grants are awarded by May 31, 2015, Council approve Plan B, Phased Option 4 as outlined in this report (estimated at \$40,713,015 over the period 2015 to 2024).

REFERENCES:

Schedule I outlines the estimated capital and operating costs as well as the expected timeline for Plan A, the full project pre-design report, and Plan B, the four phased options 1-4.

Schedule II outlines the projected financial impacts of all the options (Plan A, the full project pre-design report, and Plan B, the four phased options 1-4).

BACKGROUND:

As directed by Council at the July 7, 2014 open council meeting, Councillors and staff have met with numerous senior government staff, MLA's MP's and Ministers to outline the ERWS project and to explore grant opportunities. Staff has also met with VIHA representatives to both outline the City's financial constraints and explore VIHA's potential for acceptance of alternatives that may not meet the December 31, 2016 operating rule deadline for surface water treatment.

Meetings with VIHA indicated willingness to review options and possibly amend the operating rule as long as ERWS can provide a reasonable and timely plan that shows progress towards meeting DWPA surface water requirements.

The Provincial and UBCM grant meetings, on the other hand, did not did not seem very hopeful. Although those involved understood the benefits and potential impacts and risks of not proceeding, they also indicated there was very little provincial funding available and that ERWS would be competing with all other communities in the province when the next provincial grant intake was announced. Their only real contribution was a promise to assist us in our efforts with VIHA to amend the operating rule, and a suggestion that the project be broken down into smaller components with grant applications submitted on the smaller components.

Meetings with the new federal Conservative candidate for our area were, however, much more positive with a strong indication that federal assistance in the \$12 to \$13 million range could be forthcoming, and if so, would be announced in the March to May 2015 time frame.

Based on these discussions staff has concluded that the optimal plan (full project predesign report) is still the best alternative assuming grants in the \$15 to \$24 million range are available. Staff has also concluded that if grants of that magnitude are not available, then the only alternative is to phase the project over a longer period. There are, however, a number of constraints that make phasing the project problematic.

The main factors that need to be addressed are:

Capacity

- Peak summer demand is projected to exceed current ground and surface water capacity by 2016.
- o Peak winter demand is projected to exceed ground water capacity by 2024.
- Larger intake alone does not address winter turbidity, 1/20 draught or VIHA requirement for treatment.
- o Smaller interim intake does not equate to a proportionate decrease in costs and would require equal costs to be spent again in the relatively near future for additional capacity. (The only difference in cost between large and small intake is cost of materials; all other costs are relatively the same. Going back to the river again in the future would also require the same difficult and costly environmental impact process and approvals.)

O The optimal location for the treatment plant is on the east side of the Englishman River, yet the bulk of Parksville's water demand is on the west side of the Englishman River and the well water is supplied at our Springwood Park water facility. There is currently insufficient supply main capacity to move the water from the intake to the Springwood facility. Regardless of the size of the intake or treatment plant, the supply main to the Springwood Park facility will have to be built.

Treatment

- The optimal plan already includes a phased treatment plant.
- A package treatment plant is an available option but would only bridge 8 to 10 years at which point the full plant would need to be constructed.

In addition to the optimal solution (Plan A), Staff and the project consultants have also provided four phased options (Options 1 through 4) for Council's consideration in the event senior government grants do not materialize.

Pre-design Report Optimal Solution (Plan A)

The pre-design report optimal solution (Plan A):

- This option is outlined in the pre-design report and provides ERWS with 24 ML/d (firm capacity) of membrane filtration, disinfection with UV and chlorine and corrosion control. All transmission mains to connect to the system reservoirs are included.
- Construction of the ERWS water intake, treatment plant and associated distribution system upgrades total \$36,984,484.
- Will accommodate an estimated residing population of 24,290 and a visiting population of 12,381.
- Is estimated to meet supply demands up to 2035.
- Will spread construction costs over the period 2015 through 2018 with the majority of the cost expected in 2015 and 2016.
- Will comply with the ordered Change in Operating Condition prescribed by Island Health.
- The City of Parksville's share of these costs is estimated to be \$26,938,461 and will be shared roughly 44% from existing water system users and 56% from new development through development cost charge revenues.
- Assuming \$15 million in grants
 - Combined City reserves including prior years' surpluses (existing users), gas tax grant reserves and water development cost charges (DCC's) total approximately \$6.4 million which leaves a City funding shortfall of \$20.6 million.
 - The City's portion of the grants would be \$10.9 million, leaving approximately \$9.7 million to be provided through long-term borrowing, which requires either electorate approval or an "Order" by a Drinking Water Officer (appointed by VIHA) and approval by the Inspector of Municipalities. With this grant:
 - DCC could be decreased by 6.45%;
 - Water rate increases could be maintained at 2% per year; and
 - If DCC revenues totally stopped water rates would need to be increased a further 13% to fund the DCC portion of long-term debt.
- Assuming \$24 million in grants
 - Combined City reserves including prior years surpluses (existing users), Gas Tax grant reserves and water development cost charges (DCC's) total approximately \$5.1 million, which leaves a City funding shortfall of \$21.9 million.

- The City's portion of the grants would be \$17.5 million, leaving approximately \$4.4 million to be provided through long-term borrowing, which requires either electorate approval or an "Order" by a Drinking Water Officer (appointed by VIHA) and approval by the Inspector of Municipalities. With this grant:
 - DCC could be decreased by 34%;
 - Water rate increases could be maintained at 1% per year; and
 - If DCC revenues totally stopped, water rates would need to be increased a further 8% to fund the DCC portion of long-term debt.

Phased options 1 through 4 (Plan B)

Each of the phased options provides a lower initial cost (Phase 1, 2015 – 2018) compared to the pre-design report optimal solution, but also require further expansion and upgrading of the water treatment plant (Phase 2, 2024 - 2026) within eight years of completing Phase 1 to meet the projected (un-factored) water demands for 2026. The term "un-factored" refers to the absence of an industry standard safety factor placed upon projected demands when sizing facilities of this nature. Without a safety factor, any increase in demands not anticipated by the current data may not be accommodated.

In addition, each of the phased options have an initial treatment capacity limited to 16 ML/day as opposed to that of the pre-design report optimal solution which has an initial treatment capacity of 24 ML/day that is expandable to 48 ML/day.

The reduced initial cost and the short interval between the phases in conjunction with the higher total costs of the phased options offers no financial advantage over the pre-design report optimal solution, assuming significant grants are available for the pre-design report optimal solution.

However, with reduced or no grants, even though the phased options all have a higher total cost than the predesign report preferred option, they all offer a significantly reduced financial risk compared to that of the predesign report preferred option, resulting from the debt requirements being spread over a longer time period.

Phased Option 1

- Provides the ERWS with 16 ML/d (firm capacity) of disinfection with UV and chlorine and is sufficient to
 meet un-factored water demand needs to year 2024. Membrane filtration is deferred to Phase 2, after
 year 2024. In basic terms, Option 1 is the predesign report without membrane filtration. Phase 1
 includes the WTP building including foundations and buried tanks. All transmission mains to connect to
 the system reservoirs are included.
- The initial ERWS Phase 1 costs are \$25,159,374, including potential \$2,600,000 for ASR development.
 The Phase 2 ERWS costs for the addition of filtration and associated fixtures in year 2024 are \$14,000,908.
- All infrastructure built in Phase 1 is re-usable for the future expansion. There is little waste and/or rework to implement Phase 2.
- Provides dedicated transmission mains to the existing reservoirs which allows for improved operation
 of distribution system by mixing different water qualities at the reservoirs.
- Will not comply with the ordered Change in Operating Condition prescribed by Island Health until year 2024. To implement this option the ERWS would need to negotiate a waiver to avoid filtration and will require additional monitoring of disinfection by products (DBP) in the distribution system. The DBPs of primary concern are trihalomethanes (THMs), which are regulated.

- There would be no means to reduce turbidity and colour, water treatment plant operation would be limited to summer operation when DBP precursors and turbidity are lower in the river. However, this would also expose the ERWS to having to issue a boiled water advisory or require water restrictions in the summer if river turbidity is such that the water treatment plant cannot operate and the ERWS has to rely on the ground water supply only.
- Provides less flexibility to control water blend (river/ground water) because the amount of river water
 will be highly dependent on the river turbidity (which is highly variable). Therefore, this option could
 result in a higher number of consumer complaints due to aesthetic issues in the distribution system as a
 result of the variable blended water quality.
- Conversely, ERWS operators would require a higher level of effort to operate the distribution system to maintain a consistent blended water quality.

Phased Option 2

- Provides the ERWS with 16 ML/d (firm capacity) of disinfection with UV and chlorine and is sufficient to
 meet un-factored water demand needs to year 2024. Membrane filtration is deferred to Phase 2, after
 year 2024. Option 2 provides the same treatment performance and capacity as Option 1, but it defers
 construction of the water treatment plant foundations and building, except for a chemical storage
 facility. Disinfection with UV and chlorine will be installed in a temporary location near the proposed
 river intake pump station.
- The initial ERWS Phase 1 costs are \$21,580,900, including potential \$2,600,000 for ASR development. The Phase 2 ERWS costs for the addition of filtration and associated fixtures in year 2024 are \$17,658,324.
- Provides dedicated transmission mains to the existing reservoirs which allows for improved operation
 of distribution system by mixing different water qualities at the reservoirs.
- Will not comply with the ordered Change in Operating Condition prescribed by Island Health until year 2024 and will require further discussions with Island Health for filtration deferral that will result in the same aesthetic and DBP water quality control issues as Option 1 until filtration is constructed.

Phased Option 3

- Provides the ERWS with 8 ML/d (firm capacity) of coagulation and packaged membrane filtration and 16 ML/d of disinfection with UV and chlorine and corrosion control for the first phase. The membrane filtration system would be purchased as a standard packaged system as opposed to a customized engineered system (per the pre-design report). The standard packaged system is limited in capacity, but because it uses standard components it is more economical at the smaller capacities (<8-16 ML/d).
- The initial ERWS Phase 1 costs are \$23,102,613, including potential \$2,600,000 for ASR development. The Phase 2 ERWS costs for the addition of filtration and associated fixtures in year 2024 are \$17,617,802.
- The water treatment plant is limited to 8 ML/d and is slab on grade. The 2nd stage membranes have been deferred to Phase 2 and all require process tankage constructed as standard steel tanks.
- Defers the construction of the water main to the industrial reservoir and connects instead at the front
 of the water treatment plant property at Herring Gull Way. The second water main is partially
 constructed to Martindale Avenue, where it then ties directly into the distribution system as opposed to
 connecting into the Springwood reservoir.
- Will comply with the ordered Change in Operating Condition prescribed by Island Health.
- At larger capacities, such as required in Phase 2, using packaged systems would not be economical
 because it would require too many packages (limited capacity). Instead, Phase 2 would use a custommade engineered system that would use larger capacity components and therefore is more economical.

Phased option 4

- This option provides the ERWS with 8 ML/d (firm capacity) of coagulation, packaged membrane filtration and 16 ML/d of disinfection with UV and chlorine and corrosion control for the first phase.
 Option 3 and 4 are the same except that option 4 builds all the transmission mains require to connect to the Springwood reservoirs.
- The initial ERWS Phase 1 costs are \$24,305,991, including potential \$2,600,000 for ASR development.
 The Phase 2 ERWS costs for the addition of filtration and associated fixtures in year 2024 are \$16,407,024.
- Will comply with the ordered Change in Operating Condition prescribed by Island Health.

OPTIONS:

- 1. Proceed with Plan A as the optimal solution.
- 2. Proceed with one of the phased options.
- 3. Provide staff with other direction.

ANALYSIS:

The optimal solution is still the full project as described by Plan A, assuming grants in the \$15 to \$24 million range are available. Plan A meets all the requirements for capacity, treatment and distribution. With grants in the \$15 million or higher range, annual water rate increase are projected to be 2% or lower, and potential additional increase required to offset potential lower than expected DCC revenue is estimated to be a maximum of 15%.

As grant levels decrease the financial risks of the pre-design optimal solution increase, such that even though the phased options all have a higher total cost than the pre-design report preferred option, they all offer a significantly reduced financial risk compared to that of the pre-design report preferred option, resulting from the debt requirements being spread over a longer time period.

In terms of projected financial impact, each of the four phased options of Plan B is very similar. For each of option staff has done two financial projections; one assuming a 25% grant on the total capital cost, the other assuming no grants.

For all four options annual water rates are projected to increase 3% assuming a 25% grant and 3.5% assuming no grants.

Borrowing ranges between \$12.3 and \$15.3 million assuming a 25% grant and between \$18.9 and 19.9 million assuming no grant.

DCC rate increase range between 2% and 3.57% assuming a 25% grant and between 25.72% and 28.97% assuming no grant.

Additional water rate increases required to off-set potential lower than expected DCC revenues range between 10.25% and 14.15%.

As the financial impacts of the four Phased options are so similar, phased option 4 is recommended as it best meets the City's capacity, treatment and supply requirements.

Respectfully submitted,

F. C. MANSON, CGA

Chief Administrative Officer

DIRECTOR OF FINANCE COMMENTS:

G. LUCKY BUTTERWORTH, CGA Director of Finance

DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING COMMENTS:

VAUGHN FIGUEIRA
Director of Engineering

Predesign Report (Plan A) Schedule I

	Wa a s	Description	Predesign	con ci		DDN C		Operations	COD Share		DDN Chara	
Expenditu	Year	Description	Cost Estimate	COP SI	hare \$	RDN Sh %	nare (Cost Estimate	COP Share %	\$	RDN Share %	\$
Lxperiuitu	11 63			70	Ψ.	70	Y		70	¥	70	Y
	Riv	ver Intake & Treatment Plant										
	2015-2016	Intake and Raw Water Pump Station	3,081,983	74%	2,280,667	26%	801,316	140,000	74% 85%	103,600 -110,500	26% 15%	36,400 -19,500
		Existing COP Water Intake Raw Water Main	1,238,748	74%	916,674	26%	322,074	-130,000 5,000	74%	3,700	26%	1,300
		Water Treatment Plant	15,174,391	74%	11,229,049	26%	3,945,342	490,000	74%	362,600	26%	127,400
		Siteworks	3,028,344	74%	2,240,975	26%	787,370	5,000	74%	3,700	26%	1,300
		Operations Building	562,290	74%	416,095	26%	146,196	20,000	90%	18,000	10%	2,000
		Joint Transmission Mains	749,978	74%	554,984	26%	194,994	25,000	74%	18,500	26%	6,500
		Document Preparation / Tendering	3,174,624	74%	2,349,222	26%	825,402			0		
		Engineering Construction Services	0	74%	0	26%	0			0		
			27,010,359		19,987,666		7,022,693	555,000		399,600		155,400
	Dis	stribution System Improvements										
	2016	COP Transmission Main (Springwood)	5,549,111	100%	5,549,111	0%	0	20,000	100%	20,000	0%	0
	2018	COP (Springwood Booster Pumb Station	101,695	100%	101,695	0%	0	5,000	100%	5,000	0%	0
		Craig Bay Pump Station	1,250,000	0%	0	100%	1,250,000	10,000		0	100%	10,000
		Joint Transmission Main (Topbridge)	473,330	0%	0	100%	473,330	5,000		0	100%	5,000
		Fairwinds Reservoir		0%	0	100%	0	5,000		0	100%	5,000
			7,374,136		5,650,806		1,723,330	45,000		25,000		20,000
	Aq	uifer Storage and Recovery										_
	2018 +	Claudet Well Field	2,600,000	50%	1,300,000	50%	1,300,000	30,000	50%	15,000	50%	15,000
	2016 +	Claudet Well Fleid	2,600,000	30%	1,300,000	30%	1,300,000	30,000	30%	15,000	30%	15,000
		Total Expenditure Allocation	36,984,495		26,938,472		10,046,023	630,000		439,600		190,400
Funding												
	Water Reserves		3,848,785		3,848,785							
	Water Developn	ment Cost Charge Reserves	630,707		630,707							
	Capital Reserves	S	548,675		0		548,675					
	Long Term Debt Grants		7,956,328		4,978,052		2,978,276					
	Grants	Provincial	12,000,000		8,740,464		3,259,536					
		Federal	12,000,000		8,740,464		3,259,536					
			36,984,495	_	26,938,472	_	10,046,023					
				_								

			Option 1 Predesign Cost					Operations				
	Year	Description	Estimate	COP S	hare	RDN Sh	nare	Cost Estimate	COP Share		RDN Share	
Expenditu				%	\$	%	\$		%	\$	%	\$
	Riv	rer Intake & Treatment Plant										
	2015-2016	Intake and Raw Water Pump Station	2,757,900	74%	2,040,846	26%	717,054	117,418	74%	86,889	26%	30,529
		Existing COP Water Intake						-130,000	85%	-110,500	15%	-19,500
		Raw Water Main	1,238,700	74%	916,638	26%	322,062	5,000	74%	3,700	26%	1,300
		Water Treatment Plant	5,520,300	74%	4,085,022	26%	1,435,278	163,400	74%	120,916	26%	42,484
		Siteworks	1,483,860	74%	1,098,056	26%	385,804	2,251	74%	1,666	26%	585
		Operations Building	0	74%	0	26%	0	0	90%	0	10%	0
		Joint Transmission Mains	749,978	74%	554,984	26%	194,994	25,000	74%	18,500	26%	6,500
		Document Preparation / Tendering	3,434,500	74%	2,541,530	26%	892,970			0		
		Engineering Construction Services	0	74%	0	26%	0			0		
			15,185,238		11,237,076		3,948,162	183,069		121,171		61,898
	Dis	stribution System Improvements										
	2016	COP Transmission Main (Springwood)	5,549,111	100%	5,549,111	0%	0	20,000	100%	20,000	0%	0
	2018	COP (Springwood Booster Pumb Station	101,695	100%	101,695	0%	0	5,000	100%	5,000	0%	0
		Craig Bay Pump Station	1,250,000	0%	0	100%	1,250,000	10,000		0	100%	10,000
		Joint Transmission Main (Topbridge)	473,330	0%	0	100%	473,330	5,000		0	100%	5,000
					5,650,806		1,723,330	40,000		25,000		15,000
	Aquifer Storage and Recovery											
	2018 +	Claudet Well Field	2,600,000	50%	1,300,000	50%	1,300,000	30,000	50%	15,000	50%	15,000
	2016 +	Claudet Well Field	2,000,000	3076	1,300,000	30%	1,300,000	30,000	30%	13,000	30%	13,000
	2024	Intake and Pump Station	530,400	74%	392,496	26%	137,904	22,582	74%	16,711	26%	5,871
		Water Treatment Plant	11,033,800	74%	8,165,012	26%	2,868,788	326,600	74%	241,684	26%	84,916
		Siteworks	1,812,468	74%	1,341,226	26%	471,242	2,749	74%	2,034	26%	715
		Operations Building	624,240	74%	461,938	26%	162,302	20,000	74%	14,800	26%	5,200
		CoP Transmission Main		100%	0	0%	0	0	100%	0	0%	0
			14,000,908		10,360,672		3,640,236	371,931		275,229		96,702
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		-,,-		-,,	,		-, -		
	Total Expenditure Allocation		39,160,282		28,548,554		10,611,728	625,000		436,400		188,600
Funding												
	Water Reserves		3,848,785		3,848,785							
		ment Cost Charge Reserves	630,707		630,707							
	Capital Reserves		548,675		0		548,675					
	Long Term Debt	24,532,115		17,069,062		7,463,053						
	Grants		,552,115		_:,:33,002		.,.55,055					
		Provincial	4,800,000		3,500,000		1,300,000					
		Federal	4,800,000	-	3,500,000	_	1,300,000					
			39,160,282		28,548,554	_	10,611,728					

			Option 2									
			Predesign Cost		6 1			Operations	000.01			
	Year	Description	Estimate		Share	RDN Sh		Cost Estimate	COP Share	•	RDN Share	
Expenditu	res			%	\$	%	\$		%	\$	%	\$
	Rive	er Intake & Treatment Plant										
	2015-2016	Intake and Raw Water Pump Station	2,898,800	74%	2,145,112	26%	753,688	118,346	74%	87,576	26%	30,770
		Existing COP Water Intake						-130,000	85%	-110,500	15%	-19,500
		Raw Water Main	1,238,700	74%	916,638	26%	322,062	5,000	74%	3,700	26%	1,300
		Water Treatment Plant	1,884,300	74%	1,394,382	26%	489,918	54,562	74%	40,376	26%	14,186
		Siteworks	1,707,888	74%	1,263,837	26%	444,051	2,691	74%	1,991	26%	700
		Operations Building	0	74%	0	26%	0	0	90%	0	10%	0
		Joint Transmission Mains	749,978	74%	554,984	26%	194,994	25,000	74%	18,500	26%	6,500
		Document Preparation / Tendering	3,127,100	74%	2,314,054	26%	813,046			0		
		Engineering Construction Services	0	74%	0	26%	0			0		
			11,606,766		8,589,007		3,017,759	75,599		41,643		33,956
	Dist	tribution System Improvements										
	2016	COP Transmission Main (Springwood)	5,549,111	100%	5,549,111	0%	0	20,000	100%	20,000	0%	0
	2018	COP (Springwood Booster Pumb Station	101,695	100%	101,695	0%	0	5,000	100%	5,000	0%	0
		Craig Bay Pump Station	1,250,000	0%	0	100%	1,250,000	10,000		0	100%	10,000
		Joint Transmission Main (Topbridge)	473,330	0%	0	100%	473,330	5,000		0	100%	5,000
	seme manufacture (repartege)						,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u> </u>
			7,374,136		5,650,806		1,723,330	40,000		25,000		15,000
	Aquifer Storage and Recovery											
	2018 +	Claudet Well Field	2,600,000	50%	1,300,000	50%	1,300,000	30,000	50%	15,000	50%	15,000
	2024	Intake and Pump Station	530,400	74%	392,496	26%	137,904	21,654	74%	16,024	26%	5,630
		Water Treatment Plant	15,037,800	74%	11,127,972	26%	3,909,828	435,438	74%	322,224	26%	113,214
		Siteworks	1,465,884	74%	1,084,754	26%	381,130	2,309	74%	1,709	26%	600
		Operations Building	624,240	74%	461,938	26%	162,302	20,000	74%	14,800	26%	5,200
		CoP Transmission Main	,	100%	0	0%	0	0	100%	0	0%	0
			17,658,324		13,067,160		4,591,164	479,401		354,757		124,644
	Total Expenditure Allocation		39,239,226		28,606,973		10,632,253	625,000		436,400		188,600
		p			.,,.		.,,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				,
Funding												
	Water Reserves		3,848,785		3,848,785							
	Water Developm	nent Cost Charge Reserves	630,707		630,707							
	Capital Reserves		548,675		0		548,675					
	Long Term Debt		24,411,059		17,127,481		7,483,578					
	Grants	Drovincial	4 000 000		2 500 000		1 200 000					
		Provincial	4,900,000		3,500,000		1,300,000					
		Federal	4,900,000	-	3,500,000	_	1,300,000					
		39,239,226	•	28,606,973	_	10,632,253						

			Option 3 Predesign Cost					Operations				
	Year	Description	Estimate	COPS	Share	RDN Sh	nare	Cost Estimate	COP Share		RDN Share	
Expenditu		Description	Lotimate	%	\$	%	\$	Cost Estimate	%	\$	%	\$
•		iver Intake & Treatment Plant										
	100	iver make a readment rank										
	2015-2016	Intake and Raw Water Pump Station	2,776,700	74%	2,054,758	26%	721,942	111,854	74%	82,772	26%	29,082
		Existing COP Water Intake						-130,000	85%	-110,500	15%	-19,500
		Raw Water Main	1,238,700	74%	916,638	26%	322,062	5,000	74%	3,700	26%	1,300
		Water Treatment Plant Siteworks	6,747,700 1,707,888	74% 74%	4,993,298	26% 26%	1,754,402	193,357 2,691	74% 74%	143,084 1,991	26% 26%	50,273 700
		Operations Building	1,707,888	74%	1,263,837 0	26%	444,051 0	2,691	90%	1,991	10%	700
		Joint Transmission Mains	O	74%	0	26%	0	O	74%	0	26%	0
		Document Preparation / Tendering	3,264,600	74%	2,415,804	26%	848,796		, 1,0	0	2070	ŭ
		Engineering Construction Services	0	74%	0	26%	0			0		
			15,735,588		11,644,335		4,091,253	182,902		121,048		61,855
	D	listribution System Improvements										
	U	istribution System Improvements										
	2016	COP Transmission Main (Springwood)	2,942,000	100%	2,942,000	0%	0	8,823	100%	8,823	0%	0
	2018	COP (Springwood Booster Pumb Station	101,695	100%	101,695	0%	0	5,000	100%	5,000	0%	0
		Craig Bay Pump Station	1,250,000	0%	0	100%	1,250,000	10,000		0	100%	10,000
		Joint Transmission Main (Topbridge)	473,330	0%	0	100%	473,330	5,000		0	100%	5,000
		Fairwinds Reservoir		0%	0	100%	0	5,000		0	100%	5,000
			4,767,025		3,043,695		1,723,330	33,823		13,823		20,000
	A	quifer Storage and Recovery										
	2018 + Claudet Well Field		2,600,000	50%	1,300,000	50%	1,300,000	30,000	50%	15,000	50%	15,000
	2024	Intake and Pump Station	698,700	74%	517,038	26%	181,662	28,146	74%	20,828	26%	7,318
	2024	Water Treatment Plant	10,352,100	74%	7,660,554	26%	2,691,546	296,643	74%	219,516	26%	7,318
		Siteworks	1,465,884	74%	1,084,754	26%	381,130	2,309	74%	1,709	26%	600
		Operations Building	624,240	74%	461,938	26%	162,302	20,000	90%	18,000	10%	2,000
		CoP Transmission Main	3,726,900	100%	3,726,900	0%	0	11,177	100%	11,177	0%	0
	Water Develop	ome Joint Transmission Mains (Top Bridge)	749,978	74%	554,984	26%	194,994	25,000	74%	18,500	26%	6,500
			17,617,802		14,006,167		3,611,635	383,275		289,729		93,545
							40 -06 04-					
		Total Expenditure Allocation	40,720,415		29,994,198		10,726,217	630,000		439,600		190,400
Funding												
	Water Reserve	es	3,848,785		3,848,785							
	Water Develop	oment Cost Charge Reserves	630,707		630,707							
	Capital Reserve	es	548,675		0		548,675					
	Long Term Deb Grants	ot	25,692,248		18,114,706		7,577,542					
		Provincial	5,000,000		3,700,000		1,300,000					
		Federal	5,000,000	_	3,700,000	_	1,300,000					
			40,720,415	-	29,994,198	_	10,726,217					

			Operations									
	Year	Description	Estimate	COF	Share	RDN Sh	nare C	ost Estimate	COP Share		RDN Share	
Expenditu	res			%	\$	%	\$		%	\$	%	\$
	Riv	ver Intake & Treatment Plant										
	2015-2016	Intake and Raw Water Pump Station Existing COP Water Intake	2,776,700	74%	2,054,758	26%	721,942	111,854 -130,000	74% 85%	82,772 -110,500	26% 15%	29,082 -19,500
		Raw Water Main	1,238,700	74%	916,638	26%	322,062	5,000	74%	3,700	26%	1,300
		Water Treatment Plant	6,747,700	74%	4,993,298	26%	1,754,402	193,357	74%	143,084	26%	50,273
		Siteworks	1,707,888	74%	1,263,837	26%	444,051	2,691	74%	1,991	26%	700
		Operations Building	0	74%	0	26%	0	0	90%	0	10%	0
		Joint Transmission Mains	749,978	74%	554,984	26%	194,994	25,000	74%	18,500	26%	6,500
		Document Preparation / Tendering	3,302,900	74%	2,444,146	26%	858,754			0		
		Engineering Construction Services	0	74%	0	26%	0			0		
			16,523,866		12,227,661		4,296,205	207,902		139,548		68,355
	Di	stribution System Improvements										
	2016	COP Transmission Main (Springwood)	3,357,100	100%	3,357,100	0%	0	10,137	100%	10,137	0%	0
	2018	COP (Springwood Booster Pumb Station	101,695	100%	101,695	0%	0	5,000	100%	5,000	0%	0
		Craig Bay Pump Station	1,250,000	0%	0	100%	1,250,000	10,000		0	100%	10,000
		Joint Transmission Main (Topbridge)	473,330	0%	0	100%	473,330	5,000		0	100%	5,000
											,	,
			5,182,125		3,458,795		1,723,330	30,137		15,137		15,000
	Aquifer Storage and Recovery											
	2018 +	Claudet Well Field	2,600,000	50%	1,300,000	50%	1,300,000	30,000	50%	15,000	50%	15,000
	2024	Intake and Pump Station	698,700	74%	517,038	26%	181,662	28,146	74%	20,828	26%	7,318
		Water Treatment Plant	10,352,100	74%	7,660,554	26%	2,691,546	296,643	74%	219,516	26%	77,127
		Siteworks	1,465,884	74%	1,084,754	26%	381,130	2,309	74%	1,709	26%	600
		Operations Building	624,240	74%	461,938	26%	162,302	20,000	74%	14,800	26%	5,200
		CoP Transmission Main	3,266,100	100%	3,266,100	0%	0	9,863	100%	9,863	0%	0
			16,407,024		12,990,384		3,416,640	356,960		266,715		90,245
		Total Expenditure Allocation			29,976,840		10,736,175	625,000		436,400		188,600
Funding												
	Water Reserves	S	3,848,785		3,848,785							
	Water Develop	ment Cost Charge Reserves	630,707		630,707							
	Capital Reserve	es	548,675		0		548,675					
	Long Term Debi	t	25,684,848		18,097,348		7,587,500					
		Provincial	5,000,000		3,700,000		1,300,000					
		Federal	5,000,000	·Ē	3,700,000	_	1,300,000					
			40,713,015		29,976,840	_	10,736,175					

Predesign Report (Plan A) Schedule II

Predesign Report

24 millior	24 million grant	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027		Total Debt/grants	
2	Water Ra	te	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	
	Water	Expenditure Res Capital Reserve DCC Reserve	4,764,442 0 161,734 359,968	3,886,739 0 165,373 347,538	3,770,112 0 169,094 236,358	2,534,271 0 172,899 -103,046	2,511,299 0 176,789 178,723	2,375,365 0 180,767 103,557	1,998,779 0 184,834 378,572	2,200,068 0 188,993 646,223	2,093,315 0 193,245 -1,429,286	0 197,593	414,940 0 202,039 -981,611	214,621 0 206,585 -903,470	475,312 0 211,233 -720,299	765,151 0 215,986 -550,518	
	24 Millior	n Grant	8,740,464	8,740,464													17,480,928
	Borrowin	g	2,400,000	2,000,000													4,400,000
	DCC's	Change Revenue Short Fall	Decrease 33	3.76%	8.56%												
15 millior	grant																
	Water Rate		2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	1%	1%	0%	
	Water	Expenditure Res Capital Reserve DCC Reserve	0 161,734	0 165,373	2,426,064 0 169,094 1,072,112	0 172,899	0 176,789	1,025,831 0 180,767 1,013,104	0 184,834	0 188,993	1,154,312 0 193,245 -492,629	900,542 0 197,593 -279,818	27,453 0 202,039 -81,159	149,918 0 206,585 -29,260	751,313 0 211,233 121,565	1,395,080 0 215,986 256,396	
	15 millior	grant	5,500,000	5,425,580													10,925,580
	Borrowin	g		3,900,000 1,200,000													10,200,000
	DCC's	Change Revenue Short Fall	Decrease 6.	2%	14.91%												

O	ption	1

25% Gran	.		2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027		Total Debt/grants
25% Gran	Water Ra	te	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	Water	s Expenditure Res Capital Reserve DCC Reserve	0 161,734	0 165,373	1,848,561 0 169,094 1,561,101	0 172,899	0 176,789	0 180,767	,	0 188,993	0 193,245	0 197,593	29,540 0 202,039 1,429,295	0 206,585	1,322,986 0 211,233 1,452,762	0 215,986	
	Grant		2,250,000	2,250,000								1,250,000	1,250,000				7,000,000
	Borrowin	g Change Revenue Short Fall	3,100,000 Increase 2.	3,400,000 00%%	3,500,000								2,400,000 2,900,000	1300000 14.06%			15,300,000
No Grant																	
	Water Ra	te	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	Water	s Expenditure Res Capital Reserve DCC Reserve	4,566,088 0 161,734 475,271	3,241,887 0 165,373 295,783	3,206,665 0 169,094 565,465	0 172,899	0 176,789	0 180,767	2,478,923 0 184,834 2,038,013	0 188,993	3,901,318 0 193,245 850,279	2,241,097 0 197,593 661,792	86,924 0 202,039 313,037	454,946 0 206,585 393,573	1,246,743 0 211,233 563,939	2,091,744 0 215,986 712,149	
	Grant																
	Borrowing			3,750,000 2,000,000								2,400,000 2,500,000					18,900,000
	DCC's	Change Revenue Short Fall	Increase 25	5.72%	13.55%									14.15%			

Ontion 2			

																	Total
			2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	Debt/grants
25% Gran	ıt																
	Water Ra	te	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	Surplu				2,969,629								42,874	-	1,355,249	2270261	
		Expenditure Res	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Capital Reserve	161,734	165,373	169,094	172,899	,	180,767	-	-		197,593	202,039	206,585		215985.8	
	water	DCC Reserve	915,422	1,378,249	1,525,006	1,412,022	1,927,624	2,086,961	2,600,516	3,101,709	1,226,386	958,337	794,031	797,606	897,625	979201.5	
	Grant		1,900,000	1 900 000								1,600,000	1 600 000				7,000,000
	Grant		1,300,000	1,300,000								1,000,000	1,000,000				7,000,000
	Borrowin	g	3,100,000	2,800,000								2,400,000	1,300,000				12,400,000
												2,800,000					
	DCC's	Change	Increase 3.	.38%													
		Revenue Short Fall			10.81%									12.73%			
No Grant																	
	\4/-+ D-		2.50/	2.50/	2.50/	2.50/	2.50/	2.50/	2.50/	2.50/	2.50/	2.50/	0.00/	0.00/	0.00/	0.00/	
	Water Ra	te	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	Surplu		5 110 220	1 652 808	4,659,456	2 5// 577	2 754 520	3 072 266	4 080 557	1 000 997	5 583 045	2 003 600	144,703	521 720	1,315,083	2161773	
		Expenditure Res	0,116,330	4,032,636	4,039,430	0,344,377	3,734,330	3,972,200	4,080,337	4,300,667	0,363,043	2,903,000	144,703	0	0	0	
		Capital Reserve	161,734	165,373	169,094	172,899	176,789	180,767	184,834		193,245	197,593	202,039	206,585		215985.8	
		DCC Reserve	,	•	1,879,279			-		-		-	398,528	471,096	-	774310.5	
				_,,- :-	_,	_,,	_,= _,	_,,.	-,,	.,,	_,,	_,,		,			
	Grant																
	Borrowin	g	3,750,000	3,750,000								2,400,000	1,300,000				18,900,000
			2,000,000	2,000,000								2,500,000	1,200,000				
	DCC's	Change	Increase 28	3.97%													
		Revenue Short Fall			13.55%									14.15%			

Option 3	;
----------	---

250/ 6			2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027		Total Debt/grants
25% Gran	τ Water Ra	te	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	Water	s Expenditure Res Capital Reserve DCC Reserve	3,434,407 0 161,734 308,643	2,385,861 0 165,373 733,893	2,580,332 0 169,094 983,270	0 172,899	0 176,789	0 180,767	0	0 188,993	3,979,126 0 193,245 917,165	1,605,461 0 197,593 243,809	134,272 0 202,039 45,457	528,298 0 206,585 104,974		2227783 0 215985.8 399429.5	
	Grant		2,050,000	2,050,000								1,650,000	1,650,000				7,400,000
	Borrowing DCC's Change Revenue Short Fall		3,100,000 0 Increase 3.	0	10.27%							2,000,000 1,700,000	1,300,000 1,700,000	11.80%			12,300,000
No Grant																	
	Water Ra	te	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	Water	s Expenditure Res Capital Reserve DCC Reserve	0 161,734	0 165,373	4,093,251 0 169,094 1,515,398	0 172,899	0 176,789	0 180,767	0 184,834	0 188,993	0 193,245	0 197,593	196,353 0 202,039 98,948	505,585 0 206,585 199,496	-	2011602 0 215985.8 556659.5	
	Grant																
	Borrowin	g	3,750,000 2,000,000									2,400,000 3,000,000					19,900,000
	DCC's	Change Revenue Short Fall	Increase 28	.97%	13.55%									14.15%			

Option 4	0	pt	io	n	4
----------	---	----	----	---	---

25% Gran			2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027		Total Debt/grants
25% Grain	Water Ra	te	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	Water	Expenditure Res Capital Reserve DCC Reserve	5,443,731 0 158,244 223,357	3,185,596 0 161,734 69,493	2,205,511 0 165,373 559,253	2,394,618 0 169,094 806,351	0 172,899	0 176,789	2,042,609 0 180,767 1,475,601	0 184,834	3,082,690 0 188,993 2,564,009	3,764,149 0 193,245 725,570	1,499,487 0 197,593 142,532	136,445 0 202,039 32,958	529,173 0 206,585 93,669		
	Grant		2,100,000	2,100,000								1,600,000	1,600,000				7,400,000
	Borrowing	g Change Revenue Short Fall	3,100,000 Increase 3.		10.25%								1,300,000 1,700,000	11.80%			12,300,000
No Grant																	
	Water Ra	te	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	Water	Expenditure Res Capital Reserve DCC Reserve	0 161,734	0 165,373	0 169,094	0 172,899	0 176,789	0 180,767	0 184,834	0 188,993	4,927,272 0 193,245 2,113,934	0 197,593	196,353 0 202,039 98,962	505,585 0 206,585 199,514		2011602 0 215985.8 556657.5	
	Grant																
	Borrowin	g	3,750,000 2,000,000										1,300,000 1,700,000				19,900,000
	DCC's	Change Revenue Short Fall	Increase 28	.97%	13.55%									14.15%			